

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,724	09/22/2003	Toshiaki Takizawa	114726-007	2433	
43793 7	7590 08/01/2005	08/01/2005		EXAMINER	
	NTELLECTUAL PRO	NGUYEN, DUNG V			
P. O. BOX 708 NORTHBROOK, IL 60065		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NORTHBROO	Normbrook, 12 0000		3723		
				_	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\epsilon$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/668,724	TAKIZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung V. Nguyen	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5,8,17 and 18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 9-16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/03&amp;3/15/04</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/668,724

**Art Unit: 3723** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of species 1, claims 1-5 and 8-18, in the reply filed on 26 July 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claim 7 depends on claim 6, therefore, claim 7 is not readable on species 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Huddleston (USPN 5,297,366). Huddleston discloses an abrasive substrate 24 provided removably between an abrasive member 32 and a head portion 18 of a carry type abrasive machine 12 wherein one portion is different in hardness (regulated by thickness) to another portion of surface to which the abrasive member 32 is attached, wherein the abrasive substrate 24 is jointed to the head portion 18, a portion where is not contact with the head portion 18 is lower than a portion where is not contact with the head portion 18 in hardness of the surface to which the abrasive member 32 is attached, wherein hardness in peripheral portion of the abrasive substrate 24 is lower than a

Art Unit: 3723

hardness in a central portion, wherein the central portion has a bolt insertion hole for inserting a bolt 20 which is used to fix the abrasive substrate 24 to the head portion 18 and projection disposed around the bolt insertion hole, wherein the abrasive substrate 24 is formed by a disk, wherein abrasive member 32 is an abrasive cloth, wherein a surface of the abrasive substrate 24 at a fixing side for the abrasive member 32 is rough (note Fig. 1-4, col. 2, line 26 to col. 3, line 22). The claimed phrase "wherein the abrasive substrate is formed of a synthetic resin molded member which is formed by a single material" is being treated as a product by process limitation, that is, that the abrasive substrate is molded. As set forth in MPED 2113, product by process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. Once a product appearing to be substantially the same or similar is found, a 35 USC 102/103 rejection may be made and the burden is shifted to applicant to show an unobvious difference. See MPEP 2113.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thielen, Wells, Grimes et al, Nagano and Edwards et al are cited to show abrasive substrates.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,724 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dung V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

4490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-3:30.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anny vom hagnym

DVN July 27, 2005